

The reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy and their Hamiltonian structures

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article.

2010 J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43 045201

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1751-8121/43/4/045201>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 171.66.16.157

The article was downloaded on 03/06/2010 at 08:51

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

The reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy and their Hamiltonian structures

Guido Carlet¹, Paolo Lorenzoni² and Andrea Raimondo³

¹ CMUC, University of Coimbra, Portugal

² Dipartimento di Matematica e Applicazioni, Università di Milano-Bicocca, Italy

³ SISSA—International School for Advanced Studies, Italy

E-mail: gcarlet@mat.uc.pt, paolo.lorenzoni@unimib.it and andrea.raimondo@sissa.it

Received 7 October 2009, in final form 25 November 2009

Published 23 December 2009

Online at stacks.iop.org/JPhysA/43/045201

Abstract

We study finite-dimensional reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy showing that the consistency conditions for such reductions are given by a system of radial Loewner equations. We then construct their Hamiltonian structures, following an approach proposed by Ferapontov.

PACS numbers: 02.30.Ik, 02.30.Hq

1. Introduction

The dispersionless KP and 2D Toda hierarchies are the main examples of hierarchies of equations of hydrodynamic type with an infinite number of dependent variables [10]. The problem of the finite-dimensional reduction of these hierarchies consists in finding constraints which are compatible with the flows of the hierarchy and such that the constrained flows are described by a system of equations of hydrodynamic type with a finite number of dependent variables.

In the case of the dispersionless KP hierarchy (or Benney hierarchy), it was shown [5, 6] that the equations describing the compatibility of the constraints are a system of chordal Loewner equations. Recently, the Hamiltonian formulation of such reductions have been studied, in terms of nonlocal [3] and purely nonlocal [4] Poisson brackets.

In this paper, we consider the N -dimensional reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy. In section 3, we show that the consistency of a reduction is equivalent to a system of radial Loewner equations. We show that the compatibility conditions for such a system is given by Gibbons–Tsarev equations from which it follows that the reductions are semi-Hamiltonian. We present generating functions for the flows (or symmetries) of the reductions and give a proof of the functional dependence of the two Lax functions. In section 4, we consider the Hamiltonian structures of the reductions. Following an approach of Ferapontov we show that it is possible to factorize the Riemann curvature tensor, associated with a diagonal metric, in

terms of the symmetries of the reduction. From such factorization, the existence of nonlocal Hamiltonian structures follows. In section 5, purely nonlocal Hamiltonian structures for the reductions are studied and in section 6 an example is considered.

2. The dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy

The Lax representation of the dispersionless two-dimensional Toda hierarchy [10] is defined in terms of two formal Laurent power series in p

$$\lambda = p + u_0 + u_1 p^{-1} + \dots \tag{1a}$$

$$\bar{\lambda} = \bar{u}_{-1} p^{-1} + \bar{u}_0 + \bar{u}_1 p + \dots, \tag{1b}$$

where the dependent variables u_k and \bar{u}_k depend on the spatial variable x and on two infinite sets of independent variables t_n and \bar{t}_n for $n > 0$. The Lax equations are

$$\lambda_{t_n} = \{B_n, \lambda\}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{t_n} = \{B_n, \bar{\lambda}\}, \tag{2a}$$

$$\lambda_{\bar{t}_n} = \{\bar{B}_n, \lambda\}, \quad \bar{\lambda}_{\bar{t}_n} = \{\bar{B}_n, \bar{\lambda}\}, \tag{2b}$$

where the Poisson brackets are given by

$$\{f, g\} = p \frac{\partial f}{\partial p} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x} - p \frac{\partial g}{\partial p} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x},$$

and we define

$$B_n := \frac{1}{n} (\lambda^n)_+ \quad \bar{B}_n := \frac{1}{n} (\bar{\lambda}^n)_-.$$

We denote by $()_+$ and $()_-$ the projections of a power series in p to positive and strictly negative powers of p , respectively. In this formal setting, the Lax equations are considered as generating functions of an infinite set of involutive evolutionary equations of hydrodynamic type for the coefficients u_k and \bar{u}_k .

In the following we will consider λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ as univalent analytic functions on certain domains in the complex plane, having the expansions (2) at $p = \infty, 0$, respectively.

3. Reductions of the 2D Toda hierarchy

In this section we consider the reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy and their relation with systems of radial Loewner equations. Similar results were first obtained for the dispersionless KP case by Gibbons and Tsarev [5, 6]; other examples—including the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy—have been studied, for instance, in [7, 9, 11, 12, 14].

A *reduction* of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy is given by a choice of two families of functions

$$\lambda = \lambda(p; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N), \quad \bar{\lambda} = \bar{\lambda}(p; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N), \tag{3}$$

on the p -plane depending on N parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$ such that the flows (2) are consistent with (3) and are induced by diagonal hydrodynamic type equations

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial t_n} = v_{(n)}^i \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial \bar{t}_n} = \bar{v}_{(n)}^i \frac{\partial \lambda_i}{\partial x}, \tag{4}$$

where $v_{(n)}^i$ and $\bar{v}_{(n)}^i$ are suitable functions of $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$, depending on the choice of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$. We will denote by $v^i = v_{(1)}^i$ the first of these functions.

Proposition 1. *The functions (3) with (4) provide a reduction of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy if and only if the following system of Loewner equations is satisfied:*

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \lambda_p}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \bar{\lambda}_p}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i}. \tag{5}$$

Moreover, the functions $v_{(n)}^i$ and $\bar{v}_{(n)}^i$ appearing in (4) are given by

$$v_{(n)}^i = \left(p \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial p} \right)_{|_{p=v^i}}, \quad \bar{v}_{(n)}^i = \left(p \frac{\partial \bar{B}_n}{\partial p} \right)_{|_{p=v^i}}. \tag{6}$$

Proof. Assuming the independence of the λ_x^i , the Lax equations (2a)—together with conditions (3) and (4)—are equivalent to the following set of equations:

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \lambda_p}{p(B_n)_p - v_{(n)}^i} \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \bar{\lambda}_p}{p(\bar{B}_n)_p - \bar{v}_{(n)}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{B}_n}{\partial \lambda_i}. \tag{7}$$

Conditions for the flow \bar{t}_n are similar

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \lambda_p}{p(\bar{B}_n)_p - \bar{v}_{(n)}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{B}_n}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \bar{\lambda}_p}{p(\bar{B}_n)_p - \bar{v}_{(n)}^i} \frac{\partial \bar{B}_n}{\partial \lambda_i}. \tag{8}$$

For $n = 1$, we have $B_1 = p + u_0$; therefore, in this case equations (7) are exactly the Loewner system (5). We prove now that the other conditions (7)—for $n \geq 2$ —and (8) follow from (5). To see this, consider the first equation in (7) for $n \geq 2$. Using Loewner equations, we have

$$\frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{n} \frac{\partial (\lambda^n)_+}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{p(\lambda^n)_p}{p - v^i} \right)_+ \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i}.$$

On the other hand, using the fact that $\frac{1}{p-v^i} = \left(\frac{1}{p-v^i} \right)_-$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{p(\lambda^n)_p}{p - v^i} \right)_+ &= \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{(p(\lambda^n)_p)_+ - ((p(\lambda^n)_p)_+)|_{p=v^i}}{p - v^i} \right)_+ \\ &= \frac{p(B_n)_p - v_{(n)}^i}{p - v^i}. \end{aligned}$$

Using these formulas we obtain

$$\frac{p \lambda_p}{p(B_n)_p - v_{(n)}^i} \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{p \lambda_p}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i},$$

hence, the first equation in (7) is a consequence of the Loewner equations (5). Analogously, all other conditions (7) and (8) are proved. \square

The Loewner equations can be equivalently written in terms of $\varphi := \log \bar{u}_{-1}$ instead of u_0 . Indeed, expanding both sides of the Loewner equations (5) at $p \sim \infty$, we get

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i} = v^i \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}, \tag{9}$$

and substituting back into the Loewner system, we obtain

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{v^i p \lambda_p}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{v^i p \bar{\lambda}_p}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}. \tag{10}$$

We derive now the compatibility conditions of the above system.

Proposition 2. *The Loewner equations (10) are compatible if and only if the functions v^i and φ satisfy the Gibbons–Tsarev equations*

$$\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{v^i v^j}{v^j - v^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_j}, \quad i \neq j, \tag{11a}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2 \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda_j} = 2 \frac{v^i v^j}{(v^i - v^j)^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_j}, \quad i \neq j. \tag{11b}$$

Proof. Spelling out the compatibility conditions

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \lambda = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} \lambda, \tag{12}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_i u_0 \partial_j v^i}{(p - v^i)^2} - \frac{\partial_j u_0 \partial_i v^j}{(p - v^j)^2} + \partial_i \partial_j u_0 \left(\frac{1}{p - v^i} - \frac{1}{p - v^j} \right) \\ + p \frac{\partial_i u_0 \partial_j u_0}{(p - v^i)(p - v^j)} \left(\frac{1}{p - v^i} - \frac{1}{p - v^j} \right) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the notation $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i}$. The Gibbons–Tsarev equations can be recovered as the two leading coefficients of the expansion for $p \sim v^i$. It is easily checked by direct substitution in (12) that the Gibbons–Tsarev equations are also sufficient for the compatibility

Using the Gibbons–Tsarev system (11), it is easy to prove that the characteristic velocities v^i of the reduction of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy satisfy the condition

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial \lambda_j}}{v^i - v^j} \right) = \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \left(\frac{\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial \lambda_k}}{v^i - v^k} \right), \quad i \neq j \neq k \neq i, \tag{13}$$

known in the literature as semi-Hamiltonian condition [13]. The above system arises as the compatibility condition of the linear system

$$\frac{\partial w^i}{\partial \lambda_j} = \frac{\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial \lambda_j}}{v^i - v^j} (w^i - w^j), \quad i \neq j, \tag{14}$$

which gives the characteristic velocities w^i of the symmetries of the reduction. Therefore, every N -tuple of functions defined in (6) automatically satisfies the semi-Hamiltonian condition (13).

Next, we define a pair of generating functions for the symmetries.

Lemma 3. *The functions defined by*

$$W^i(\lambda) = \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda) - v^i}, \quad \bar{W}^i(\bar{\lambda}) = \frac{p(\bar{\lambda})}{p(\bar{\lambda}) - v^i} \tag{15}$$

where $p(\lambda) := \lambda(p)^{-1}$ and $p(\bar{\lambda}) := \bar{\lambda}(p)^{-1}$ are the inverse functions of $\lambda(p)$ and $\bar{\lambda}(p)$ respectively, are generating functions for the characteristic velocities (6), namely

$$W^i(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} v_{(n)}^i \lambda^{-n}, \quad \bar{W}^i(\bar{\lambda}) = \sum_{n \geq 1} \bar{v}_{(n)}^i \bar{\lambda}^{-n}.$$

Proof. The function W^i has an asymptotic expansion for $\lambda \mapsto \infty$ of the form

$$W^i(\lambda) = \sum_{n \geq 0} c_{(n)}^i \lambda^{-n}.$$

We want to prove that $c_{(n)}^i = v_{(n)}^i$. Clearly, the coefficient of λ^{-n} in the expansion of W^i is given by

$$c_{(n)}^i = -\operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} W^i(\lambda)\lambda^{n-1} d\lambda.$$

Expressing the residue in the variable p we rewrite it as

$$-\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} \frac{p}{p-v^i} (\lambda^n)_p d p = -\frac{v^i}{n} \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} \frac{(\lambda^n)_+}{(p-v^i)^2} d p,$$

where on the right-hand side we have integrated per parts and dropped the irrelevant negative powers of p in the numerator. We are left with the residue of a rational expression with poles only at $p = \infty, v^i$. Hence it is equal to

$$c_{(n)}^i = \frac{v^i}{n} \operatorname{Res}_{p=v^i} \frac{(\lambda^n)_+}{(p-v^i)^2} d p = \frac{v^i}{n} (((\lambda^n)_+)_p)|_{p=v^i} = v_{(n)}^i.$$

An analogous proof holds for the generating function \bar{W}^i . □

In the next section we will find convenient to use different generating functions, which are obtained (up to a sign) by differentiating W^i and \bar{W}^i with respect to λ :

$$w^i(\lambda) = \frac{v^i}{(p(\lambda) - v^i)^2} \frac{\partial p(\lambda)}{\partial \lambda} = \sum_{n \geq 1} n v_{(n)}^i \lambda^{-n-1}, \tag{16a}$$

$$\bar{w}^i(\bar{\lambda}) = \frac{v^i}{(p(\bar{\lambda}) - v^i)^2} \frac{\partial p(\bar{\lambda})}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} = \sum_{n \geq 1} n \bar{v}_{(n)}^i \bar{\lambda}^{-n-1}. \tag{16b}$$

In the reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy one needs only one of the two Lax functions $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$; indeed, we have the following.

Proposition 4. *For a reduction of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy, the univalent functions λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are functionally dependent on the common domain of definition.*

Proof. On any domain of the complex plane where $\bar{\lambda}$ is invertible, denote $p(\bar{\lambda}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ its inverse and define

$$\mathcal{F}(\bar{\lambda}) = \lambda(p(\bar{\lambda}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N), \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N),$$

which is well defined on the image by $\bar{\lambda}$ of the intersection of the domains of definition of λ and $\bar{\lambda}$. *A priori* \mathcal{F} might depend on $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$; however, since λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfy the Loewner equations (5), we have

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} \frac{\partial \bar{\lambda}}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \bar{\lambda}} \frac{p \bar{\lambda}_p}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} + \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \lambda_i},$$

hence $\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}}{\partial \lambda_i} = 0$. This shows that λ can be expressed in terms of $\bar{\lambda}$ by the function \mathcal{F} which is independent of the parameters $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N$. □

In particular, it follows from the previous proposition that λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ have the same critical points. Moreover, we have a relation between the critical points of λ and the characteristic velocities of the reduction. Indeed, evaluating the 2D Toda equations at a critical point, one easily proves that

Proposition 5. *If $\hat{p} = \hat{p}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ is a critical point of λ , i.e. $\lambda_p(\hat{p}) = 0$ and $\hat{\lambda} := \lambda(\hat{p}; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ the corresponding critical value, then*

$$\frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial t_n} = v^{(n)} \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial \bar{t}_n} = \bar{v}^{(n)} \frac{\partial \hat{\lambda}}{\partial x},$$

with

$$v^{(n)} = \left(p \frac{\partial B_n}{\partial p} \right) \Big|_{p=\hat{p}}, \quad \bar{v}^{(n)} = \left(p \frac{\partial \bar{B}_n}{\partial p} \right) \Big|_{p=\hat{p}}.$$

On the other hand, under generic assumptions, the characteristic velocities are critical points of λ (and $\bar{\lambda}$):

Proposition 6. *The characteristic velocities v^j are critical points of λ and the Riemann invariants can be chosen to be the corresponding critical values.*

Proof. From the Loewner equation evaluated at $p = v^j$ one has

$$\frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial \lambda_i} \Big|_{p=v^j} (v^j - v^i) = v^j \lambda_p(v^j) \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i},$$

which for $i = j$ implies $\lambda_p(v^j) = 0$. □

In the rest of the paper we will assume that the Riemann invariants λ_i are the critical values corresponding to the critical points v^i . Under this assumption, we have the following

Lemma 7. *The formula*

$$\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{1}{(v^i)^2 \lambda_{pp}(v^i)}$$

holds for any reduction of the Toda hierarchy.

Proof. Considering the Loewner equation (5) and taking the limit for $p \rightarrow v^i$, one gets

$$1 = \lim_{p \rightarrow v^i} \frac{p \lambda_p(p)}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i} = \lim_{p \rightarrow v^i} \frac{p(\lambda_p(p) - \lambda_p(v^i))}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i} = v^i \lambda_{pp}(v^i) \frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \lambda_i},$$

which holds since v^i is a critical point of λ . The thesis follows from identity (9). □

4. Hamiltonian formulation

We have seen that the reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy are semi-Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. In [1] Ferapontov conjectured that any semi-Hamiltonian system is always Hamiltonian with respect to suitable, possibly nonlocal, Hamiltonian operators (see also [2]), which are obtained by the following construction:

- (1) Find the general solution of the system

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_j} \ln \sqrt{g_{ii}} = \frac{\frac{\partial v^i}{\partial \lambda_j}}{v^j - v^i}, \quad i \neq j. \tag{17}$$

To this purpose it is sufficient to find one solution g_{ii} of (17), since the general solution is $\frac{g_{ii}}{\phi^i(\lambda_i)}$, where ϕ^i are arbitrary functions of one argument. The functions g^{ii} define the non-vanishing contravariant components of a diagonal metric.

(2) Write the non-vanishing components of the curvature tensor in terms of solutions w_α^i of the linear system (14):

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}^i w_{\alpha}^j \epsilon_{\alpha} = \pm 1. \tag{18}$$

Given a solution of (17) and the quadratic expansion (18) of the associated curvature tensor, the Hamiltonian structure is given by

$$\Pi^{ij} = g^{ii} \delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} + \Gamma_k^{ij}(\lambda) \lambda_{k,x} + \sum_{\alpha} \epsilon_{\alpha} w_{\alpha}^i \lambda_{i,x} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} w_{\alpha}^j \lambda_{j,x},$$

where $\Gamma_k^{ij} = -g^{ii} \Gamma_{ik}^j$ and the Γ_{ik}^j are the Christoffel symbols of the metric g . We recall that the index α can take values on a finite or infinite—even continuous—set.

We now apply the above procedure to find a Hamiltonian formulation for reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy. Using the Gibbons–Tsarev equations it is easy to check that

Proposition 8. *The general solution of the system (17) is given by*

$$g_{ii} = \frac{1}{\phi_i(\lambda_i)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}, \tag{19}$$

where each ϕ_i is a function of the sole variable λ_i .

Let us consider first the case of potential metric, given by

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} \delta_{ij}. \tag{20}$$

Following the Ferapontov’s procedure, we have now to find a quadratic expansion of the form (18) for the curvature tensor of the metric (20). For this purpose, it is convenient to introduce the following function:

$$F(p(\lambda); \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) = \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda_j},$$

which can be expressed in terms of the variable p as

$$F(p; \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N) = \frac{1}{\lambda_p} - \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{pv^j}{p - v^j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_j}. \tag{21}$$

Here we used the identity

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda_i} = \frac{pv^i}{v^i - p} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}, \tag{22}$$

which follows from the Loewner equations (10). We need the following technical lemma:

Lemma 9. *The function F is analytic at $p = v^i$, $i = 1, \dots, N$, and satisfies*

$$F|_{p=v^i} = \delta(v^i), \tag{23}$$

$$\frac{\partial F}{\partial p}|_{p=v^i} = \delta \left(\log \sqrt{\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}} \right) + \frac{\delta(v^i)}{v^i}, \tag{24}$$

where the operator δ is given by

$$\delta := \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_k}.$$

Proof. Using lemma 7, it is easy to see that the poles of $\frac{1}{\lambda_p}$ in v^i cancel out in (21); hence, F is analytic at $p = v^i$. Moreover, if we consider the compatibility condition between the Loewner system (22) and the equation

$$\frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} = F + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{pv^j}{p - v^j} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_j},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \lambda_i \partial \lambda} - \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial \lambda \partial \lambda_i} &= -\frac{(v^i)^2}{(p - v^i)^2} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} [F(p) - \delta(v^i)] + \frac{(v^i)^2}{p - v^i} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} \\ &\times \left[-F_p(p) + \delta \left(\log \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} \right) + 2 \frac{\delta(v^i)}{v^i} \right] + \text{regular function at } p = v^i. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Multiplying by $(p - v^i)^2$ and taking the limit for $p \rightarrow v^i$ we get

$$(v^i)^2 \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} (F(v^i) - \delta(v^i)) = 0,$$

which, under the assumptions $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i} \neq 0$, $v^i \neq 0$, implies identity (23). Computing the residue of (25) at $p = v^i$ one obtains (24). \square

We are now in the position to find a quadratic expansion for the curvature of the potential metric (20). Indeed, let Γ_i be a small contour surrounding the point $p = v^i$ counter-clockwise and let C_i be the image of Γ_i under the map λ . Let $\Gamma := \bigcup_{i=1}^N \Gamma_i$ and $C := \bigcup_{i=1}^N C_i$.

Theorem 10. *The non-vanishing components of the Riemann tensor of the potential metric (20) admit the following quadratic expansion*

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C w^i(\lambda) w^j(\lambda) d\lambda, \quad i \neq j, \quad (26)$$

where the $w^i(\lambda)$ are the generating functions of the symmetries defined in (16a).

Proof. In order to determine the Riemann curvature tensor for the metric (20), we use the following well-known fact. *The only non-zero components of the curvature tensor of a diagonal metric $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} g_{ii}$ having symmetric rotation coefficients $\beta_{ij} := \frac{\partial_i \sqrt{g_{ij}}}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}}$ are*

$$R_{ijij} = -\sqrt{g_{ii}} \sqrt{g_{jj}} \delta(\beta_{ij}), \quad i \neq j. \quad (27)$$

Using the Gibbons–Tsarev equations, we find that in our case the rotation coefficients are given by

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial_i \partial_j \varphi}{\sqrt{\partial_i \varphi} \sqrt{\partial_j \varphi}} = \sqrt{\partial_i \varphi} \sqrt{\partial_j \varphi} \frac{v^i v^j}{(v^i - v^j)^2}, \quad i \neq j.$$

Substituting into (27) and raising the first two indices, we obtain the formula

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{(v^i + v^j)[v^i \delta(v^j) - v^j \delta(v^i)]}{(v^i - v^j)^3} - \frac{v^i v^j [\delta(\log \sqrt{\partial_i \varphi}) + \delta(\log \sqrt{\partial_j \varphi})]}{(v^i - v^j)^2}, \quad i \neq j, \quad (28)$$

which holds for any reduction. We can now use lemma 9 to write (28) in the form

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{v^i v^j}{(v^i - v^j)^2} \left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial p}(v^i) + \frac{\partial F}{\partial p}(v^j) \right) + \frac{2v^i v^j}{(v^i - v^j)^3} (F(v^i) - F(v^j)).$$

Moreover, using the fact that $F(p)$ is regular at all $p = v^k$ one can rewrite this expression in terms of residues, obtaining

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{F(p)v^i v^j}{(p - v^i)^2(p - v^j)^2} dp, \quad i \neq j.$$

Due to (21), the above integral splits in two

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{F(p)v^i v^j}{(p - v^i)^2(p - v^j)^2} dp &= \frac{v^i v^j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda'(p)}}{(p - v^i)^2(p - v^j)^2} dp \\ &\quad - \frac{v^i v^j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^N \frac{pv^k \partial_k \varphi}{p - v^k}}{(p - v^i)^2(p - v^j)^2} dp, \end{aligned}$$

and the second term of the right-hand side above is zero, for all poles of the rational integrand lie inside the contour Γ . Hence, we have

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{v^i v^j}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda'(p)}}{(p - v^i)^2(p - v^j)^2} dp,$$

and after a change of the variable of integration, we get

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{v^i \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}}{(p(\lambda) - v^i)^2} \frac{v^j \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}}{(p(\lambda) - v^j)^2} d\lambda,$$

which is exactly formula (26). □

We can now formulate our main theorem on the Hamiltonian representation of the hierarchy in the case of potential metric.

Theorem 11. *The reduction of the Toda hierarchy associated with the function $\lambda(p, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_N)$ is Hamiltonian with the Hamiltonian structure*

$$\Pi^{ij} = \frac{1}{\partial_i \varphi} \delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} + \Gamma_k^{ij} \lambda_x^k - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{v^i \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_x^i}{(p(\lambda) - v^i)^2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \frac{v^j \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_x^j}{(p(\lambda) - v^j)^2} d\lambda.$$

Here

$$\Gamma_k^{ij} = -\frac{1}{2\partial_i \varphi \partial_j \varphi} (\delta_{ij} \partial_i \partial_k \varphi + \delta_{jk} \partial_i \partial_j \varphi - \delta_{ik} \partial_i \partial_j \varphi)$$

are the Christoffel symbols of the metric.

In the general case, with the non-potential metric

$$(g_{\phi})_{ii} = \frac{1}{\phi_i(\lambda_i)} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \lambda_i}, \tag{29}$$

we can prove that we have a similar expansion of the curvature tensor, given by

$$R_{ij}^{ij} = -\frac{v^i v^j}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Gamma_k} \frac{\frac{1}{\lambda_p}}{(p - v^i)^2(p - v^j)^2} \phi_k(\lambda(p)) dp.$$

Therefore, we have the following family of Hamiltonian structures:

$$\Pi^{ij} = \phi_i \frac{1}{\partial_i \varphi} \delta^{ij} \frac{d}{dx} + \Gamma_k^{ij} \lambda_x^k - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{C_k} \frac{v^i \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_x^i}{(p(\lambda) - v^i)^2} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} \frac{v^j \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \lambda_x^j}{(p(\lambda) - v^j)^2} \phi_k(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

for any choice of the functions ϕ_i .

Remark 12. Reductions of the 2D dispersionless Toda hierarchy, as well as their Hamiltonian structures, have also been considered by Harnad *et al* [8], in the cases where λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are polynomial, rational and logarithmic functions, and under the constraints of the string equation $\{\lambda, \bar{\lambda}\} = 1$. We recall that in these cases the reductions obtained are completely integrable systems of ordinary differential equations. Note that, due to the string equation, in this case the functions λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ are functionally independent as functions of x and p , otherwise their Poisson bracket would be zero.

5. Purely nonlocal Hamiltonian structures

In addition to the nonlocal Ferapontov-type Hamiltonian operators, we can associate with any reduction of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy a family of purely nonlocal Hamiltonian operators. In the semi-Hamiltonian case, it has been shown in [4] that if W_α^i are the characteristic velocities of pairwise commuting diagonal hydrodynamic flows, the operator

$$\Pi^{ij} = \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha W_\alpha^i \lambda_x^i \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} W_\alpha^j \lambda_x^j,$$

defines a purely nonlocal Hamiltonian structure provided

$$\sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha W_\alpha^i W_\alpha^j = 0, \quad i \neq j.$$

Moreover

$$g^{ii} \delta^{ij} = \sum_\alpha \epsilon_\alpha W_\alpha^i W_\alpha^j.$$

defines a solution to (17).

For the reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy, the following result holds.

Lemma 13. *The contravariant components of the metric (29) admit the following quadratic expansion*

$$g_\phi^{ii} \delta^{ij} = \phi_i(\lambda_i) \frac{1}{\partial_i \phi} \delta^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{C_k} W^i(\lambda) W^j(\lambda) \phi_k(\lambda) d\lambda,$$

where the $W^i(\lambda)$ are the generating functions of the symmetries (15).

Proof. The proof is a straightforward computation of the integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{C_k} W^i(\lambda) W^j(\lambda) \phi_k(\lambda) d\lambda &= \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{Res}_{\lambda=\lambda^k} \left[\frac{p(\lambda)^2 \phi_k(\lambda) d\lambda}{(p(\lambda) - v^i)(p(\lambda) - v^j)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \operatorname{Res}_{p=v^k} \left[\frac{p^2 \frac{\partial \lambda}{\partial p}}{(p - v^i)(p - v^j)} \phi_k(\lambda(p)) dp \right] \\ &= \phi_i(\lambda_i) (v^i)^2 \lambda''(v^i) \delta^{ij}, \end{aligned}$$

the last step being due to the fact that $p = v^k$ are critical points of λ , so that the differential turns out to be regular at all these points for $i \neq j$, and also for $i = j$ and $k \neq i$. Making use of lemma 7, we obtain the desired result. \square

Therefore, the purely nonlocal operators associated with the reductions of the dispersionless 2D Toda hierarchy are

$$\Pi^{ij} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{C_k} \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda) - v^i} \lambda_{i,x} \left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{-1} \frac{p(\lambda)}{p(\lambda) - v^j} \lambda_{j,x} \phi_k(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

6. An example: the dispersionless Toda chain

The simplest example of reduction is the dispersionless Toda chain, which is given by the constraint

$$\lambda = \bar{\lambda} = p + v + \frac{e^u}{p}.$$

The characteristic velocities are given by the critical points

$$v^1 = e^{\frac{u}{2}}, \quad v^2 = -e^{\frac{u}{2}}$$

and the Riemann invariants by the critical values of $\lambda = \bar{\lambda}$:

$$\lambda_1 = v + 2e^{\frac{u}{2}}, \quad \lambda_2 = v - 2e^{\frac{u}{2}}.$$

In this simple example we can explicitly write $\lambda, \bar{\lambda}$, the characteristic velocities, u_0 and φ in terms of the Riemann invariants, i.e.

$$\lambda = \bar{\lambda} = p + \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2} + \left(\frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{4}\right)^2 p^{-1},$$

and

$$v^1 = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{4}, \quad v^2 = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{4}, \quad u_0 = v = \frac{\lambda_1 + \lambda_2}{2}, \quad \varphi = u = 2 \log \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{4}.$$

It is easy to check that these functions satisfy Loewner (5) and Gibbons–Tsarev (11) equations.

Let us compute the Hamiltonian operators associated with the metrics

$$g_{ij} = \frac{\partial_i u}{\lambda_i^k} \delta_{ij}$$

for $k \geq 0$, which clearly solve (17). Explicitly

$$g_{11} = \frac{2\lambda_1^{-k}}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}, \quad g_{22} = \frac{2\lambda_2^{-k}}{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}.$$

In this case the curvature can be expressed as

$$R_{12}^{12} = -\frac{v^1 v^2}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2 \lambda^k}{(p(\lambda) - v^1)^2 (p(\lambda) - v^2)^2} d\lambda,$$

or alternatively as

$$R_{12}^{12} = -v^1 v^2 \sum_{i=1}^2 \operatorname{Res}_{p=v^i} \left(\frac{\lambda(p)^k \frac{1}{\lambda'(p)}}{(p - v^1)^2 (p - v^2)^2} dp \right).$$

For $k = 0, 1, 2$, the Abelian differential

$$\frac{\lambda(p)^k \frac{1}{\lambda'(p)}}{(p - v^1)^2 (p - v^2)^2} dp$$

has poles only at the points $p = v^1, p = v^2$, and therefore the curvature vanishes and the associated Hamiltonian operators are local.

For $k > 2$ new poles appear at $p = 0$ and $p = \infty$. Since the sum of the residues of an Abelian differential on a compact Riemann surface is zero, we can substitute the sum of residues at $p = v^1, v^2$ with minus the sum of residues at $p = 0$ and $p = \infty$, obtaining

$$R_{12}^{12} = v^1 v^2 \left(\operatorname{Res}_{p=0} + \operatorname{Res}_{p=\infty} \right) \frac{\lambda(p)^k \frac{1}{\lambda'(p)}}{(p - v^1)^2 (p - v^2)^2} dp.$$

Taking into account that $\lambda(0) = \lambda(\infty) = \infty$, we easily obtain the counterpart of the above formulas in the λ -plane:

$$R_{12}^{12} = 2\text{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} \frac{v^1 \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} v^2 \frac{\partial p}{\partial \lambda} \lambda^k}{(p - v^1)^2 (p - v^2)^2} d\lambda = 2\text{Res}_{\lambda=\infty} (w^1(\lambda) w^2(\lambda) \lambda^k d\lambda).$$

Since the expansions of $w^1(\lambda)$ and $w^2(\lambda)$ near $\lambda = \infty$ have the form

$$w^1(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k v_{(k)}^1 \lambda^{-k-1} = \frac{\lambda_1 - \lambda_2}{4\lambda^2} + \frac{(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)(3\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)}{8\lambda^3} + \dots$$

$$w^2(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k v_{(k)}^2 \lambda^{-k-1} = \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{4\lambda^2} + \frac{(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(3\lambda_2 + \lambda_1)}{8\lambda^3} + \dots,$$

we obtain the quadratic expansion of the Riemann tensor

$$R_{12}^{12} = -2 \sum_{l+s=k-1} l v_{(l)}^1 s v_{(s)}^2$$

and we can immediately write the corresponding nonlocal Hamiltonian operator Π^{ij} . From the last formula we have that the nonlocal tail of, e.g. Π^{12} is given by

$$-2 \sum_{l+s=k-1} l v_{(l)}^1 \lambda_{1,x} \left(\frac{d}{dx} \right)^{-1} s v_{(s)}^2 \lambda_{2,x}.$$

Similar formulas can be obtained in this example for purely nonlocal Hamiltonian structures.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to John Gibbons for his interest in this work; many ideas of the present paper have been derived from a previous collaboration of two of us with him. GC and PL wish to thank GNFM's 'Progetto Giovani' grant, for the stay of G Carlet at the University of Milano-Bicocca. GC also acknowledges support from the ESF-MISGAM exchange grant no 2326 for his visit at Universidad Complutense de Madrid, where this work has been partially carried out. AR wishes to thank the ESF-MISGAM project for the exchange grants no 2264 for his stay at the International School for Advanced Studies, Trieste, and no 2265 for his visit at the University of Milano-Bicocca.

References

- [1] Ferapontov E V 1991 Differential geometry of nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **25** 195–204
- [2] Ferapontov E V 1995 Nonlocal Hamiltonian operators of hydrodynamic type: differential geometry and applications. Topics in topology and mathematical physics *Am Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2* vol 170 (Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society) pp 33–58
- [3] Gibbons J, Lorenzoni P and Raimondo A 2009 Hamiltonian structure of reductions of the Benney system *Comm. Math. Phys.* **287** 291–322 (arXiv:0802.1984v3 [nlin.SI])
- [4] Gibbons J, Lorenzoni P and Raimondo A 2008 Purely nonlocal Hamiltonian formalism for systems of hydrodynamic type arXiv:0812.3317 [nlin.SI]
- [5] Gibbons J and Tsarev S P 1996 Reductions of the Benney equations *Phys. Lett. A* **211** 19–24
- [6] Gibbons J and Tsarev S P 1999 Conformal maps and reductions of the Benney equations *Phys. Lett. A* **258** 263–71
- [7] Guil F, Mañas M and Martínez Alonso L 2003 The Whitham hierarchies: reductions and hodograph solutions *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36** 4047–62

- [8] Harnad J, Loutsenko I and Yermolayeva O 2005 Constrained reductions of two-dimensional dispersionless Toda hierarchy, Hamiltonian structure, and interface dynamics *J. Math. Phys.* **46** 112701–19
- [9] Takebe T, Teo L-P and Zabrodin A 2006 Loewner equations and dispersionless hierarchies *J. Phys. A.: Math. Gen.* **39** 11479–501
- [10] Takasaki K and Takebe T 1995 Integrable hierarchies and dispersionless limit *Rev. Math. Phys.* **7** 743–808
- [11] Takasaki K and Takebe T 2006 Radial Loewner equation and dispersionless cmKP hierarchy arXiv:[nlin.SI/0601063](https://arxiv.org/abs/nlin.SI/0601063)
- [12] Takasaki K and Takebe T 2008 Loewner equations, Hirota equations and reductions of universal Whitham hierarchy arXiv:[0808.1444](https://arxiv.org/abs/0808.1444)
- [13] Tsarëv S P 1990 The geometry of Hamiltonian systems of hydrodynamic type. The generalized hodograph method *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **54** 1048–68 (Russian)
Tsarëv S P 1991 *Math. USSR Izv.* **37** 397–419 (Transl.)
- [14] Yu L *PhD Thesis* Imperial College, London